

U. N. TREASON

Continued from page 1

TWO United Nations wars—and PROMISED for a third and most dangerous adventure in Africa.

We get back to the proposition that the U. N. is a government of all the world. The Council on Foreign Relations runs the U. N. Arthur Goldberg (as was Adlai Stevenson), is a Member of the Council on Foreign Relations. The World Government (pending the time they can get YOUR Senate to ratify an International Law) functions through Treaties and Resolutions of the General Assembly. A duly ratified G. A. Resolution becomes LAW of the WORLD and the Chief Executives of the Member States MUST ENFORCE THOSE LAWS.

"WE" are firmly bound by our "solemn commitment" under Article 25 —

"Member Nations AGREE to ACCEPT and CARRY OUT the decisions of the Security Council . . . (Security Council authority later . . . transferred to the General Assembly.)"

Regardless of what you may think about this — or what your Senators and Congressmen may tell you, the record is crystal clear that the whole Washington Government regards Article 25 as amended by the "Uniting for Peace" Resolution as the SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND. They told us in enacting the U. N. Participation Act where they stood BETWEEN the Constitution and the U. N. Charter when they wrote into that Act the proclamation that "Notwithstanding the provisions of ANY OTHER LAW" the un-Constitutional powers AND OBLIGATIONS listed therein should accrue to the President of the (Member State) United States.

You law makers who understand Law should obtain a copy of the U.N. Participation Act as amended — find yourselves a nice quiet corner, and STUDY IT. Just see what was left of the Constitution when YOUR representatives and OURS, enacted this monstrous legislation. And ask yourselves whether you really represent anything or anybody under this law.

During the half-baked "debate" on this Act, it was stated over and over — and actually written into the Act — the provision that "OUR" Ambassador who is NOT ELECTED, nor answerable to Congress, would get his instructions from the President (of the Member State). And, having read and/or studied this proposition, you see little reason to question it.

United Nations Participation Act as amended October 9, 1949:

Section 2. (a) The President by and with the advice of the Senate shall appoint a representative and a deputy representative of the United States to the United Nations, both of whom shall have the rank and status of envoy extraordinary and ambassador plenipotentiary . . . and shall perform such functions in connection with the United Nations as the President may from time to time direct.

Section 3. The representatives provided for in Section 2 hereof, when representing the United States in the respective organs and agencies of the United Nations shall at all times act in accordance with the instructions of the President. . .

Perhaps you had better read Sections 2 and 3 again — then consider the OATH OF OFFICE taken by "OUR" Ambassador.

OATH OF OFFICE — Senate Document 87, pg. 224.:

"I solemnly swear (undertake, affirm, promise) to exercise in all loyalty, discretion and conscience the functions entrusted to me as an international civil servant of the United Nations, to discharge these functions and regulate my conduct with the interests of the United Nations only in view, and not to seek or accept instructions in regard to the performance of my duties from any government or other authority external to the Organization."

"There is no substitute for victory."



GENERAL DOUGLAS MacARTHUR

"I could have won the war in Korea in a maximum of ten days, with considerably fewer casualties . . ."

The UN prevented victory in Korea; it will do so again in Vietnam.

From the words of the Participation Act and those prescribed for the Oath of Office, you can get a fair estimate of the significance of an Act of Congress and of the integrity of the U. N., its CREATORS and its associates.

The idea in U. N. is that if Congress is going to INSIST upon the Ambassador taking instructions from the President, let Congress write it into the law. It won't mean a thing. No U. S. law is recognized in the United Nations. The U. N. prescribes the form of the Oath of Office taken by the Ambassadors and that Oath nullifies the Act of Congress.

"Our" Ambassador has SWORN to put the interests of this International Authority above the interests of the United States and to ACCEPT NO INSTRUCTIONS from the President or anybody else outside the United Nations. Hence he DOES NOT REPRESENT ANYBODY except the Soviet Revolutionary World Government.

But when he speaks he COMMITS the UNITED STATES to ACCEPT and CARRY OUT his orders. He CAN, and HE DOES, speak authoritatively when he tells the world WHAT THE UNITED STATES WILL DO, and as you will see, the United States Government will do exactly what he, Arthur Goldberg, says they will do, and he is telling the world now that the U. S. will give full support to a war in Africa.

You can watch this project work at first hand. The General Assembly has adopted some resolutions commanding Britain to reassert (to take back) sovereignty over her FORMER Colony, Southern Rhodesia. And this means Britain MUST DO THIS, no matter HOW she gets it done. Britain was most reluctant but when the U. N. talked "sanctions" Britain surrendered. She TRIED to persuade Rhodesia to return to British rule, but Rhodesia refused. Britain made it clear to the world — and particularly to the British People — that military force would not be used in Rhodesia. The British Government, shaky at best, KNEW the English People WOULD NOT STAND FOR WAR with a former colony. And the Government knew also, that war with Rhodesia would MOST LIKELY bring in South Africa and Portugal, both of whom are under U. N. sanctions — and all (3) for the same reason. They REFUSE to turn their SOVEREIGN and SOLVENT African Governments over to the half-civilized (or even less) black men. This, you see, is what the whole World Revolution is about. The World Government of the U. N. INSISTS upon BLACK RULE EVERYWHERE.

Nobody with enough sense to pound sand believes that the master minds of the U. N. intend to permit the Black Race to govern THEIR empire or to control THEIR possessions. But this is the LINE that is being peddled to Congress, the Universities, schools, churches, labor unions and other soft spots

in the "affluent Society." These poor dupes actually believe that the billionaires of the Soviet World Empire are going to permit the Negroes of the World to assume power over THEIR world empire. These trusting souls claim the billionaires have developed conscience trouble, and they want to give it all back.

Actually, the plan is to use the Negro race world-wide; to finance and direct the Negro revolution against White rule wherever it exists. Encourage the Negro to SUBDUE — by any means available — the White man. Then the World Empire builders will take over from the helpless Negroes. If you doubt it, watch the Council on Foreign Relations and ITS U. N. at work.

Britain has been ORDERED by the General Assembly to subdue Rhodesia. Is this ANY OF "OUR" BUSINESS? You bet it is. "Our" Ambassador, Goldberg, speaking FOR THE UNITED STATES and without any advice or consent of Congress, has PLEDGED BRITAIN "WE" WILL SUPPORT HER to the LIMIT. He knows that when Britain uses military force against Rhodesia she is asking for war with South Africa and Portugal. HE KNOWS that if this develops the United States will become partners with Britain in that war on NO OTHER AUTHORITY than the order of the General Assembly — and the

WORD OF ARTHUR GOLDBERG

If you have any ideas of Constitutional law and the PROTECTION guaranteed the People in the States under the Constitution, you are going to say to yourself, "This cannot be. No man can commit the U. S. Armed Forces to war; the sole power lies with Congress." The obvious and unquestionable answer to that is, "The adoption of the U.N. Charter (Constitution for World Government), changed this. The Charter plainly provides that the Security Council shall have full authority to decide WHEN and WHERE a war shall be fought, and WHO SHALL FIGHT IT. And "WE" are bound, inextricably, to ACCEPT AND CARRY OUT the decisions of the Security Council AND the General Assembly.

And in ACCEPTING and CARRYING OUT the decisions of the Security Council and the General Assembly, "OUR" Ambassador to the U. N. is authorized UNDER HIS OATH OF OFFICE to act in the interest of the United Nations ONLY. There is the business about the "President" of the Member State "instructing" the "Ambassador." Perhaps he does — and IF he does, you may be sure the "President" of the Member State (formerly U. S. A.) will "instruct" in the "interest of the United Nations." If he does not, and this would be MOST UNLIKELY, the "Ambassador" would be bound BY HIS OATH to ignore the "President's" instructions and vote for U.N. interests.

THIS IS THE NEW DEMOCRACY!

Goldberg SPEAKS FOR CONGRESS — he KNOWS what Congress will vote for. Maybe Congress does not know but "Ambassador" Goldberg DOES. He told the General Assembly on November 13, 1965, that "Rhodesians no longer have any diplomatic status in the U. S.; that the government is putting an embargo on shipments to Rhodesia and is CANCELLING THE SUGAR QUOTA OF RHODESIA."

Congress decides the matter of "sugar quota." But Mr. Goldberg KNOWS what Congress will "decide." And if Britain goes to war, Mr. Goldberg has already promised that "WE" will go with her.

This is the mess (the 17th Amendment).
Continued on Page 4



U.N. CONTROL OVER U.S. POLICY . . .